IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3024 OF 2005

CITI Bank N.A. ...Applicant

Versus

Prakash Khanderao Murkute & Anr ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Subodh Desai i/b Ramesh Makhija & Co. Advocate for Applicant

Mr. V.D. Raut, Adv. for Respondent No.1.

Mr. Y.S. Shinde, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 25TH AUGUST, 2005

P. C.:-

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant, the learned advocate for the respondent No.1 i.e. original complainant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant is seeking quashing of Criminal Case No. 486 of 1990 which is pending before the J.M.F.C. Cantonment Court Pune. The said case is under Sections 120B, 403, 411, 414, 382 440 all r.w. 34 of I.P.C.

3. The learned advocate for the respondent No.1 i.e.

original complainant has stated that the dispute between the parties has been amicably solved and the complainant does not wish to pursue its complaint. Looking to the fact that the dispute between the parties has been amicably settled and looking to the fact that the complainant does not wish to pursue its complaint, I am of the opinion that no purpose would be achieved by continuing with the proceedings in the said case. Hence, the Criminal Case No. 486 of 1990 and the proceedings relating thereto are quashed.

4. Application is disposed of.

*****